

City Council Memorandum

TO: HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL DATE: NOVEMBER 19, 2019

FROM: CITY ATTORNEY WARDS: ALL

SUBJECT: OUTSIDE COUNSEL EXPENDITURE REPORT

ISSUE:

The issue for City Council consideration is the Outside Counsel Expenditure Report for the period of July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019, as provided in the outside counsel policy approved by City Council on June 16, 2015.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

That the City Council receives and files the attached report.

BACKGROUND:

In the continuing effort of transparency and accountability, City Council approved the City Attorney's recommendation of quarterly reporting of the outside counsel expenditures. This report includes the current spending for the prior quarter and the cumulative spending from inception of the matter grouped by law firm name. All closed matters are highlighted and will not be included in the next report. It should be noted that bond counsel and contingency payments are not paid through the City's accounts payable division and therefore must be manually added to information derived from the City's accounting system. In the case of bond counsel payments, the payments are typically made directly by the bond trustee from bond proceeds. In the case of contingency payments, the payments are typically deducted from the funds received prior to deposit by the City.

FISCAL IMPACT:

There is no fiscal impact at this time.

Prepared by: Gary G. Geuss

City Attorney

Attachment: Outside Counsel Expenditure Report

\\Rc-citylaw\cycom\WPDocs\D013\P025\00493851.DOCX

AME BRIEF DESCRIPTION	Funding Source	Authorized			
		Spending Limit	7/1/19 - 9/30/19	TOTAL AS OF 9/30/19	BALANCE
rate CEQA Lawsuit	520	5,000	_	-	5,000
Prevention/Advice	510	20,000	-	2,375	17,625
Prevention/Advice	630	4,000	-	1,838	2,162
RTRP	520	410,000	150,578	312,440	97,560
PSPS	520	60,000	-	0	60,000
			150,578		
Miscellaneous	101	25,000	-	14,987	10,013
RPU	101	13,000	×	5,918	7,082
Advice	510	16,455	-	8,326	8,129
Anticipated litigation	630	65,000	90	58,514	6,486
<i>e</i> Collection	101	10,000	-	2,645	7,355
Advisory	101	20,000	1,936	17,405	2,595
HR/401(a) Advisory	101	3,000	2,900	2,900	100
Advisory	101	5,000	r <u>-</u> 1	-	5,000
			4,926		
	· · · · · ·	101	101 3,000	Advisory 101 5,000 -	Advisory 101 5,000

					EXPEN	DITURES	
			Funding Source	Authorized Spending	7/1/19 -	TOTAL AS OF	
LAW FIRM	MATTER/CASE NAME	BRIEF DESCRIPTION		Limit	9/30/19	9/30/19	BALANCE
BLAISDELL, CHURCH &							
JOHNSON							
	Bond Counsel*	IPP Generation Plant	510	5,000	1,000	1,000	4,000
Subtotal					1,000		
CHAPMAN AND CUTLER							
	Bond Counsel*						
COLANTUONO HIGHSMITH							
& WHATLEY							
	General matters	Prop 218 and conflicts advice	520	40,400	-	36,704	3,696
	General Advice	CAO	101	15,000	-	13,771	1,229
	General/RPU**	Electric & Water Cost Service Study	520	25,000	-	65	24,935
	Parada II v City	GFT Challenge/Litigation	101	162,500	24,961	89,380	73,120
	Bailey, William R. v City***	Litigation Initiated by Mayor	101	288,000	19,685	279,766	8,234
	Clymer, R. Ben v City	Challenge to Russo Contract	101	155,000	6,572	113,953	41,047
	Nunez, J. v City	Claim	101	25,000	-	1,570	23,430
Subtotal					51,218		
CONSTANTINE CANNON							
	State of California et al. ex rel.	Litigation re wireless cell					
	[Under Seal] v [Under Seal]	service/contingency		-	-	-	-
Subtotal							
COTCHETT PITRE &							
McCARTHY	Pand Dariugtiva Litization	Contingoncy*					
Subtotal	Bond Derivative Litigation	Contingency*		-	-	-	-

LAW FIRM				EXPENDITURES				
	MATTER/CASE NAME	BRIEF DESCRIPTION	Funding Source	Authorized Spending Limit	7/1/19 - 9/30/19	TOTAL AS OF 9/30/19	BALANCE	
ELLISON SCHNEIDER								
	1969 Judgment	Bunker Hill Basin	510	15,000	-	-	15,000	
Subtotal								
GREINES MARTIN STEIN & RICHLAND								
	Clymer v City	Challenge to Russo Contract	630	75,000	50,254	51,021	23,979	
	Corona, Amador v City	Appellate advice	630	45,000	-	32,356	12,644	
	Easley, Michael v. City**	Federal civil rights action	630	137,695	325	117,235	20,460	
	Brokaw, Dennis v City	Appellate advice	630	3,500	-	2,233	1,267	
	Nichols, Raymond v City	Federal civil rights action	630	66,962	13,865	80,522	13,560	
Subtotal					64,444			
JONES HALL								
	Bond Counsel*	SIFMA		40,000	-	-	40,000	
	Bond Counsel*	Electric and Water Bonds 2018		45,000	-	-	45,000	
	Bond Counsel*	2019 Electric & Water Bonds		90,000	-	-	90,000	
Subtotal								
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH								
	Easley, Michael v City**	Civil rights litigation	630	406,500	1,472	324,233	82,267	
	Friends of Riverside Airport v Dept of the Army	CEQA Litigation/Environmental	630	35,000	-	-	35,000	
Subtotal					1,472			

LAW FIRM	MATTER/CASE NAME	BRIEF DESCRIPTION	Funding Source	Authorized Spending Limit	7/1/19 - 9/30/19	TOTAL AS OF 9/30/19	BALANCE
LIEBERT CASSIDY					2,22,2	2,22,22	
WHITMORE							
	RFA/Fenske v City	Employment/Labor	101	125,000	-	45,210	79,790
	General Advice	Misc	101	1,000	-	447	553
Subtotal							
MEYERS NAVE							
	Machowski v Bird Rides	ADA Complaint	630	225,000	88,977	131,052	93,948
Subtotal					88,977		
MILLER AXLINE &							
SAWYER							
	TCP Contaminataion	Advisory/contingency*					
	City v Black & Decker (U.S), Inc.	Perchlorate litigation/contingency*					
MURPHY & EVERTZ							
	SBCTA v City	Condemnation		100,000	-	8,256	91,744
Subtotal							
SMITH LAW OFFICES							
	Amicone, Lorraine v City	Employment Litigation	630	170,200	1,740	24,285	145,715
	Clymer v City	Challenge to Russo Contract	630	75,000	3,918	10,056	64,944
	Louis, Jean-Louis v City	Civil rights litigation	630	176,000	-	16,330	159,670
	Nichols, Raymond v City	Civil rights litigation	630	120,000	-	48,229	71,771
Subtotal					5,658		

					EXPENDITURES		
LAW FIRM	MATTER/CASE NAME	BRIEF DESCRIPTION	Funding Source	Authorized Spending Limit	7/1/19 - 9/30/19	TOTAL AS OF 9/30/19	BALANCE
STRADLING YOCCA							
CARLSON & RAUTH							
	Bond Counsel	2006 Lease Revenue (Galleria)		55,000		-	55,000
	Bond Counsel	2018 Sewer Revenue Bonds		65,000		-	65,000
	Bond Counsel	2019 Electric and Water Bonds		140,000		-	140,000
	Bond Counsel	Debt Disclosure Reports		15,000		-	15,000
	Bond Counsel	CFDs and Assessment Districts		15,000		-	
	Bond Counsel	2015A Sewer Revenue Bonds		10,000		-	10,000
Subtotal							
			•				
THINK LEGAL360							
	Harris, Bobby v. City	Employment Litigation	630	270,000	3,426	152,951	117,049
Subtotal					3,426		
THOMPSON COBURN							
	FERC**	Regulatory	510	637,000	278,368	636,317	683
	LADWP Transmission Agreements	Potential Extension	510	25,000	- ,	-	25,000
Subtotal					278,368		
TOTAL					650,067		
					·		

				EXPENDITURES			
			Funding Source	Authorized Spending	7/1/19 -	TOTAL AS OF	
LAW FIRM	MATTER/CASE NAME	BRIEF DESCRIPTION		Limit	9/30/19	9/30/19	BALANCE
Note: Plaintiff's Case - Ou	utside Counsel Retained for Recover	у					
BURKE WILLIAMS SORENSEN							
	City v. Jurupa/Rubidoux CSD, et al.**	Recovering contribution obligation for RWQCP project	550	4,782,326	4,744	4,004,203	778,123

Please note:

- (1) Some totals may include costs
- (2) Items shaded in blue are closed
- (3)*Contingency bond counsel payments are per individual bond transactions or recovery received
- (4)**Change order issued/adjustment; amount increased for current FY
- (5)***Litigation initiated by Mayor